

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/891,014	06/25/2001	Michael D. Crandall	54185USA8B.014	9951	
32692	7590 02/05/2003				
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 334 ST. PAUL, N	127 MN 55133-3427	ZIRKER, DANIEL R			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	7	
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	beneath the co	rrespondence ac	idress
P riod for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defective to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	a reply within the statutory of fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application	minimum of thirty (3 from the mailing d on to become ABAI	0) days will be considered this communicate of this communicate of this communicate (35 U.S.C. §	dered timely. ation. 133).
Status	12/12/22			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/12/00	ζ		·
This action is FINAL .	·			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1			o the merits is c	losed in
Disposition of Claims				
5-11, 16-	· 20	is/are p	ending in the app	ication.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are v	_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are a	_ is/are allowed.		
©Claim(s) 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 1	is/are n	is/are rejected.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to.	
☐ Claim(s)		are sub	ject to restriction	or election
Application Papers		require		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	• •	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examin	er		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	•			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		(a)–(d).		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		(a)–(d).		
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)–(d).		
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. n received in Application			
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee 	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 in received. in received in Application ents have been received	1 No	·	
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 In received. In received in Application Cents have been received Conal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	1 No		
 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation 	by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 In received. In received in Application Cents have been received Conal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	1 No		
□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received:	by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 on received. on received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 1)	1 No		·
□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* *Certified copies not received: *Attachment(s)	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 on received. on received in Application ents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 1)	7.2(a))		·

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00) Serial No. 09/891,014

Art Unit 1771

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Cooprider et al. -617, substantially for the reasons set forth in paragraph No. 7 of Paper No. 4, together with the following additional observations. Applicants argue (Response, page 6, lines 5-9) that in view of the amendment to claim 5 of the Markush group of polymeric stabilizers makes the claim non-anticipated. However, this ignores the clear teaching of exemplary stabilizers which include certain members of the Markush grouping set forth at column 6, lines 1-11 of the reference. Additionally, the claims have been amended to set forth that the (meth)acrylamide comonomer, instead of a polar comonomer, has no dissociable proton. However, in addition to what has previously been relied upon, note particularly column 4, lines 62-64 of the reference.
- 3. Claims 5, 7, 8, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cooprider et al. -617,
 taken either individually for claims 5, 7 and 16, or in view of
 Le Fevre for claims 8 and 10, substantially for the reasons set
 forth both above and in paragraph No. 9 of Paper No. 4, together
 with the following additional observations. As regards claim 5,
 note again that if the Examiner's relied upon teachings are not
 considered sufficient to create a holding of anticipation, the

Serial No. 09/891,014

Art Unit 1771

remaining logic of the previously set forth section No. 9 regarding obviousness is again believed to be appropriate. Applicants further argue (Response, pages 6-7) that the relied upon prior art fails to disclose a required element of claim 7, namely a chain transfer agent. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees in the sense **m** that, as repeated from the earlier action "although the reference fails to teach the presence of a chain transfer agent . . ., such chain transfer agents are both clearly conventional additives and note that the reference teaches (column 7, lines 65-67) that various other additives can be utilized." However, in an effort to satisfy applicants' demands, note as state of the art newly cited references Japanese Patent Abstract 54003136A which teaches that the use of chain transfer agents is well known in the formation of pressure sensitive acrylic polymer adhesive compositions. Additionally, note the discussion in the Concise Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Engineering, 1990, page 139 that chain transfer agents are used to regulate and limit the molecular weight in polymeric reactions and that they are well known in the polymer art. As such, it is believed that one of ordinary skill would have more than ample motivation to incorporate chain transfer agents into their acrylic adhesive composition.

4. Claims 11 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
103(a) as being unpatentable over Delgado -567, substantially for

Serial No. 09/891,014

Art Unit 1771

the reasons set forth in paragraph 10 of Paper No. 4, together with the following additional observations. Applicants have essentially ignored the great majority of the Examiner's rationale for rejecting the claims, except for the observation (Response, page 8, top paragraph) to state that Delgado does not teach microspheres that include (meth)acrylamide monomer. However, while noting that Example 11 does not teach (meth)acrylamide, Delgado does teach a number of acrylamides (e.g., acrylamide) besides N-octyl acrylamide at column 5, lines 33-45, particularly lines 43-45 of the reference, a section which applicants appear to have overlooked and the Examiner hereby again brings it to their attention. Note also that in new claim 20 the presence of "polyacrylamide" is clearly put within the skill of the art by the relied upon section. As to newly presented dependent claims 17-19, these involve the presence of chain transfer agents and polymeric stabilizers which have been dealt with above and as such are not believed to confer patentability.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

Serial No. 09/891,014
Art Unit 1771

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Serial No. 09/891,014

Art Unit 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

January 29, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

1700

Daniel Zukin